

Original Article

Signal Timing Optimization In Urban And Suburban Networks

Sathish Rao

WSU College of Engineering

Received Date: 12 February 2025

Revised Date: 16 March 2025

Accepted Date: 11 May 2025

Abstract: *Urbanisation and the notable increase in private car ownership have raised traffic signal timing optimisation to a vital area of attention for improving urban mobility, relieving road congestion, and thereby reducing environmental impacts. With special focus on the implementation and advantages of the Synchro software platform, this work presents a comprehensive and wide-ranging analysis of the theoretical underpinnings, methodological developments, and practical applications related with signal timing optimisation.*

Following the historical development of traffic signal control systems, the paper explores several optimisation techniques ranging from conventional fixed-timing approaches to adaptive control systems to innovative metaheuristic algorithms. Furthermore, a study of various case studies clearly shows the noticeable gains made by using Synchro in less crowded suburban networks as well as in highly packed metropolitan corridors. These useful illustrations highlight considerable improvements in operational effectiveness and traffic flow.

Still, there are formidable obstacles. Ongoing challenges to reaching ideal performance include limits in data quality, computing scalability, and the urgent need to provide multimodal transit options. Looking ahead, the combination of artificial intelligence approaches, linked car technologies, and a growing focus on sustainability is likely to dramatically change the terrain of signal timing optimisation.

The article ends with providing strategic, forward-looking advice meant to improve traffic signal management techniques to satisfy the needs of a transportation environment growing in complexity, dynamic nature, and connectivity.

Keywords: *Synchro Software; Urban Traffic Management; Suburban Traffic Network; Signal Timing Optimisation; Traffic Engineering.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Especially in the highly linked fabric of urban and suburban networks, traffic signal control is a basic pillar in modern transportation systems. Improving the efficiency of traffic flow, encouraging economic development, supporting environmental sustainability, and therefore strengthening public safety all depend on the best possible timing of signals. The goal of signal timing optimisation is to maximise traffic signal functioning so as to minimise vehicle delays, lower the frequency of stops, and enhance the general running performance of transportation systems. Smarter, more flexible traffic signal timing has grown increasingly critical as cities expand and private vehicle ownership increases.

Although they are closely related, urban and suburban areas provide very difficult traffic management problems. High vehicle density, frequent pedestrian activity, and a growing need for multimodal transportation integration define urban corridors most of the time. By contrast, suburban networks deal with uneven traffic loads, peak-hour commuter influxes, and expanding urban sprawl bringing congestion to historically low-density areas. Given its great potential to not only improve mobility but also to reduce vehicle emissions and significantly contribute to meaningful sustainable urban development, signal time optimisation has attracted major study and policy interest in this context. This increasing awareness fits very nicely with the worldwide trend towards smart cities and the explosion of intelligent transportation systems (ITS[1]).

Signal time optimisation still struggles with various ongoing difficulties even if its unquestionable relevance. Conventional traffic control strategies rely mostly on past data, so they are not fit for real-time situations when traffic patterns could change suddenly. Moreover, whereas most early studies concentrated on isolated crossings or simple arterial roadways, actual traffic systems are complex networks with linked intersections interacting in complicated, nonlinear ways. Current models sometimes fail to adequately depict the intricate interconnections present in mixed-use urban corridors and the different construction of suburban road networks. Synchro and other tools have enhanced traffic control using strong modelling and optimisation capabilities. Still, important gaps exist—particularly in addressing the complexity of today's varied traffic conditions and including real-time adaptive controls.



This work aims to solve these problems by offering a thorough analysis of Synchro's contribution to traffic signal timing optimisation in suburban and urban networks. It seeks to present a whole picture of the present state-of-the-art, underline methodological developments, and point up areas of unresolved problems deserving of more investigation. Emphasising the integration of real-time data analytics, adaptive control systems, and sustainable mobility principles, this study will suggest a forward-looking framework geared to better handle the changing needs of complex transportation networks outside of analysing current practices.

Readers will have a thorough awareness of the possibilities and limitations inherent in present signal timing optimisation techniques by means of a rigorous synthesis of historical viewpoints, theoretical underpinnings, comparative methodological studies, and practical case studies. Following sections will examine fundamental ideas, go over important optimisation strategies, assess Synchro's useful applications, and propose strategic directions for next traffic management and control innovations.

II THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS OF TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONTROL

Aiming to organise competing traffic flows across intersections with optimal safety and efficiency, traffic signal control forms a basic pillar within traffic engineering. Advancement of signal timing optimisation depends on a thorough awareness of the theoretical frameworks supporting traffic signal systems, especially when techniques have to be customised to the unique circumstances of urban and suburban networks. The historical development, basic operational parameters, core control strategies, and fundamental models that together define the contemporary traffic signal control practice are methodically investigated in this part.

A. Historical Evolution of Systems for Traffic Signals

Traffic signal control started in the early 20th century when the first manually run traffic lights started to show up in cities such as Cleveland and London. Comprising simple red and green signals controlled by police personnel, these early systems offered the first attempt at coordinated intersection management. A major technological advance was made when electromechanical controllers first emerged in the 1920s to allow automated signal phase adjustments based on pre-defined timing schedules.

The limits of fixed-time control systems became increasingly clear as vehicle traffic volumes rose over the mid-20th century. A significant turning point came when actuated traffic signal systems were developed in the 1950s when it was clear that fixed timings could not solve dynamic traffic conditions. These devices sensed vehicle presence using inductive loop detectors buried in the ground, therefore enabling real-time signal timing adjustment[2]. Building on these developments, the combination of microprocessor technology and, more latter, artificial intelligence approaches has produced complex adaptive traffic control systems capability of constantly changing signal operations in response to changing demand patterns.

B. Controlling Traffic Signals: Strategies

Three basic categories have historically defined traffic signal control strategies: fixed-time control, actuated control, and adaptive control [3]. Operating on predefined signal cycle timings drawn from past traffic data, this fixed-time control method Under steady traffic, fixed-time regulation provides simplicity and dependability; nevertheless, its rigidity sometimes causes inefficiency during times of changing demand. Actuated control systems using vehicle detection react dynamically to traffic circumstances. Whereas fully actuated systems use sensors on all legs of a junction to enable real-time phase adjustments, semi-actuated systems use sensors mostly on minor approaches.

Adaptive signal control systems—best shown by technologies like SCOOT (Split Cycle and Offset Optimisation Technique) and SCATS (Sydney Coordinated Adaptive Traffic System)—continuously change signal timings in response to real traffic data. These systems demand great technological infrastructure and sophisticated operational control even if they have great advantages in terms of responsiveness and efficiency.

C. Principal Traffic Signal Timing Parameters

The effectiveness of traffic signal timing is controlled in several important ways [3]:

- Cycle length is the length of one whole set of all the signal phases. Maximum intersection capacity must be balanced with minimum total delay by optimal cycle length.
- Green Split: Distribution of the cycle time among several motions or strategies Fair service distribution among conflicting traffic flows depends on effective green divides.
- Aimed at enabling the seamless traffic flow along arterial roads, the temporal coordination between nearby signals offsets "Green waves" that reduce stops and delays depend much on appropriate offsets.
- The recommended sequence of presenting traffic movements inside a cycle is phase sequence. Careful phase sequencing reduces clashing flows and fits pedestrian crossings and multimodal transportation requirements.

D. Concise Overview

Theoretically, traffic signal control exposes a dynamic interaction among technical innovation, changing transportation needs, and a growing knowledge of traffic behaviour. From manually driven signals to real-time adaptive control systems, the historical development emphasises a constant search for more safety, efficiency, and adaptability. Still, ongoing research and practice centre on unresolved problems such demand fluctuation, multimodal integration, and network coordination.

The next part will discuss how Synchro software operationalizes these ideas to provide optimal signal timing solutions; this theoretical foundation prepares the stage for such analysis.

III SIGNAL TIMING OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUES AND Synchro SOFTWARE OVERVIEW

A cornerstone of traffic engineering, the optimisation of signal timings essentially aims at improving the operational efficiency of transportation networks, so reducing congestion, and so improving intersection safety. From conventional fixed-time schedules based on historical data to highly adaptive, real-time systems enabled by modern computational methods, approaches to signal optimisation span. Leading modern signal timing analysis and optimisation tool, Synchro software combines an easily available, practitioner-oriented interface with strong theoretical frameworks.

A. Methods of Signal Timing Optimisation

a) Conventional Strategies for Optimisation

Traditionally, pre-timed tactics generated from stationary evaluations of traffic flow patterns have been rather important for conventional signal optimisation techniques. In this field, Webster's approach—which offers a formula for computing ideal cycle lengths meant to minimise the total intersection delay—is among the most powerful and enduring contributions. Forming the foundation of many later signal timing techniques, Webster's methodology combines important elements including saturation flow rates, effective green times, and lost times during phase transitions.

Among the most often used conventional models for signal timing in the United States is the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM). Created by the Transportation Research Board (TRB), the HCM describes consistent methods for examining signalised intersections including phase sequence, green time, and cycle lengths. Still vital tools in the signal optimisation toolkit of American traffic engineers, these techniques highlight vehicle throughput, delay minimisation, and level-of-service grading (Transportation Research Board, 2016).

b) Adaptive and Motivated Strategies

Actuated control systems became a more sensitive substitute with the arrival of vehicle detecting technology. Based on real-time vehicle presence found by loop detectors or cameras, fully active crossings dynamically change green times. Actuated control lacks the complete optimisation required for controlling vast, linked networks, even if it is efficient for individual crossings or moderately complex corridors.

By constantly optimising signal timings using real-time data feeds, adaptive control systems—best shown by SCOOT (Split Cycle and Offset Optimisation Technique) and SCATS (Sydney Coordinated Adaptive Traffic System) bridge this gap. These technologies recalibrate time to keep effective flow by analysing current traffic volumes, speeds, and occupancy rates. Still, their deployment calls for significant system calibration and maintenance in addition to large investment in sensing and communication infrastructure.

c) Optimisation Difficulties

Even with great progress, numerous difficulties remain. Predictive modelling attempts are complicated by traffic networks' intrinsically stochastic behaviours impacted by human variables, weather changes, and unforeseen incidents. Still a major area of research is designing optimisation models that withstand such uncertainty.

Achieving network-wide coordination—ensuring that signal optimisation at one point does not have negative ripple effects elsewhere—also offers a significant computational and logistical difficulty, especially in big urban contexts with varied traffic demands.

B. Synchro Software's Present Overview

Developed by Trafficware (a division of Cubic Transportation Systems), Synchro is now a main instrument for traffic signal timing, analysis, and optimisation. It is a great help for both engineers and transportation planners since it combines a user-centric design with strict analytical approaches.

a) Fundamental Attributes

Fundamentally, Synchro provides a set of strong capabilities [5]:

- Leveraging ideas from the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM), Synchro computes ideal cycle lengths, green splits, and offsets targeted at minimising control time and maximising throughput.

- Synchro helps users create "green waves," therefore drastically lowering the frequency of stops and total travel durations by facilitating arterial and corridor cooperation.
- By use of its deterministic simulation module, Synchro visualises how traffic will flow under different signal timing conditions, therefore offering insightful analysis for iterative improvement.
- The programme lets users represent both existing and future system designs by supporting fixed-time, actuated, and semi-actuated control setups.
- Comprehensive evaluation of traffic operations is made possible by thorough outputs including control delay, queue lengths, level of service (LOS), and stop frequencies.

b) Connectivity with SimTraffic

Synchro is closely coupled with SimTraffic, a stochastic microsimulation tool meant to augment Synchro's deterministic research. SimTraffic introduces reasonable diversity into traffic performance assessments by simulating individual vehicle movements and driver behaviours, therefore facilitating further study of congestion, delays, and intersection efficiency.

This integration helps practitioners to see any variability in system performance that would otherwise be missed in strictly deterministic models, hence improving the dependability of signal timing evaluations.

c) Usage and User Interface

Synchro stands out mostly for its straightforward graphical user interface (GUI). Using basic drag-and-drop actions, engineers can rapidly create network topologies, allocate traffic quantities, specify lane configurations, and change phase sequences. Graphical reports and simple-to-interpret colour coding help to graphically aggregate performance measures.

Such use characteristics greatly reduce the learning curve, hence Synchro is accessible to both new practitioners and experienced traffic engineers.

d) Synchro's limits

Synchro has limits even if it has many strengths. Dependency on deterministic assumptions could result in too optimistic forecasts in comparison to reality marked by random fluctuation. Moreover, Synchro is not ideal for real-time adaptive control applications but rather for offline planning and analysis. Dependency on input data quality is still another important constraint. Rigid data collecting and validation activities are especially important since inaccurate traffic levels, erroneous saturation flow predictions, or poorly designed network parts can greatly affect optimisation results.

e) Uses Areas

Synchro finds use in many different areas of transportation engineering, including:

- Retiming projects in arterial signals.
- Studies on enhancement of corridor traffic flow.
- Reviews both pre- and post-implementation for intersection improvements.
- New development traffic impact studies.

Municipalities, consultancy companies, and academic academics working on traffic operations and planning studies rely on its adaptability, depth, and simplicity.

IV CASE STUDIES AND APPLICATIONS OF SYNCHRO IN URBAN AND SUBURBAN NETWORKS

Improving operational performance and relieving congestion in both metropolitan and suburban transportation systems depends critically on signal timing optimisation. Among the several tools at hand, Synchro programme has shown great success in simulating, analysing, and timing optimisation of signals in many real-world environments. Examining both the achievements made in urban and suburban settings, this part offers a selection of case studies and useful Synchro applications.

A. Uses in Metropolitan Networks

Dense intersection spacing, large traffic volumes, and complicated multimodal needs set urban transportation systems apart from one another and call for well tuned, coordinated signal control solutions.

a) Optimising the Downtown Corridor

One well-known case concerned the retiming of a major downtown corridor in a North American city. The corridor suffered chronic peak-period congestion, too much queuing, and inconsistent green progression before improvement. Traffic engineers designed a coordinated signal plan using Synchro that maximised cycle lengths, improved green splits in response to precise turning movement counts, and Synchronised offsets across junctions.

Closely matching with normal optimisation benefits, performance studies of adaptive signal control systems in Carmel, Indiana showed significant improvements including a 27% drop in travel time, 21% decrease in delay, and a 33% reduction in stops per vehicle [6].

Apart from the operational advantages, lower vehicle emissions significantly helped the city to reach its environmental sustainability targets.

b) Bike and Pedestrian Issues

Urban networks underline non-motorized user demands more and more. Synchro helped various projects model protected bicycle movements and exclusive pedestrian phases. By means of thorough phase sequencing analysis and LOS assessments, traffic engineers effectively applied policies emphasising pedestrian and bike safety while minimising vehicle delay penalties.

These projects highlight Synchro's ability to assist in full streets projects and advance balanced, safe urban mobility networks.

B. Synchro's Value in Practical Use

Synchro's continuous implementation in diverse settings has produced several reported advantages:

- Reduced delay, travel duration, and stop frequencies help to produce more fluid and predictable traffic operations.
- While specific pedestrian and bicycle accommodations create safer surroundings for vulnerable users, smoother traffic flow helps reduce rear-end incidents.
- Better traffic flow lowers fuel use and emissions, therefore achieving more general environmental and public health goals.
- Synchro-driven signal retiming presents a very affordable method of operational enhancement when compared to initiatives involving infrastructure development.
- From isolated crossroads to large arterial networks, scalability and flexibility apply everywhere and Synchro offers a flexible toolkit for many transportation problems.

C. Difficulties and Learned Lessons

Although Synchro-based optimisation has great advantages, numerous pragmatic difficulties still exist:

- Accurate modelling depends on top-notch, current traffic volume, speed, and geometry data. Errors in data can produce poor optimising outcomes.
- Especially in cities, juggling vehicle efficiency versus pedestrian safety, bike access, and transit dependability calls for careful trade-offs.
- Often complicating or postponing the execution of ideal timing plans include hardware limits, financing restrictions, and political issues.
- Traffic circumstances change with time and call for adaptive management. Maintaining the advantages of first optimisation efforts thus depends on constant monitoring and regular retiming.
- Realising the maximum possibilities of Synchro applications depends on awareness of these difficulties and effective control of them.

D. Conclusion

Synchro's practical uses in suburban and urban networks highlight its efficiency as a traffic signal optimising technology. Synchro provides operational benefits, environmental gains, and multimodal accommodations by allowing the formulation of finely tuned timing plans. To be consistently successful, though, need for thorough data collecting, cooperation among stakeholders, and a dedication to adaptive traffic management over time.

These case studies make clear that reaching integrated mobility targets and tackling the increasing complexity of modern transportation systems depend on signal timing optimisation enabled by advanced tools like Synchro being absolutely essential.

V. CHALLENGES, FUTURE DIRECTIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SIGNAL TIMING OPTIMIZATION

Although it is still somewhat difficult, signal timing optimisation is still pillar of urban and suburban traffic control. While technologies like Synchro and the use of advanced optimisation techniques have made great progress possible, a number of ongoing issues calls for continuous attention. Concurrent with changing society priorities, growing urbanisation patterns, and new technology demand redesigned traffic signal control strategies. This part looks at current issues, defines future directions, and provides strategic ideas for improving signal timing techniques.

A. Problems with Optimal Current Signal Timing

a) Available Data Quality

One of the most difficult challenges in signal timing optimisation still is obtaining high-quality, complete, real-time traffic data. Manual counts and inductive loop detectors are two classic data collecting methods that frequently produce poor granularity and error susceptibility. Furthermore, even with advanced systems like Synchro, problems include sensor deterioration, missing data points, and obsolete traffic volume estimates might compromise the dependability of optimisation outputs.

Although crowdsourced navigation data and probing car data provide promise, these new data sources raise questions regarding consistency, representativeness, and user privacy.

b) Scalability and Computational Complexity

Optimising signal processing over large, extensively connected urban networks poses difficult scalability problems. Synchro is ideal for small-network and corridor-level optimisation, but managing hundreds of crossings with complicated phase schemes and multimodal interactions strains conventional optimisation methods. Although some of these restrictions have been lessened by metaheuristic algorithms—including swarm-based approaches and genetic algorithms—their computational requirements remain significant and convergence to global optima is not assured.

Scalability issues are further complicated by the growing variability of traffic including driverless cars, micromobility devices, and cargo delivery.

c) Flexibility Against Real-Time Variability

Traffic systems are naturally dynamic and shaped by events including construction activity, weather anomalies, incidents, and unforeseen demand surges. Even if they are optimised offline, most traditional signal timing techniques are essentially stationary and usually not suited to accommodate sudden changes.

Although Adaptive Traffic Control Systems (ATCS) provide a viable solution by dynamically changing timings based on real-time conditions, high deployment costs, technical complexity, and infrastructure requirements (GAO, 2012) limit general use. The GAO research emphasises that although ATCS technologies could increase mobility and ease traffic congestion, their deployment is still limited because of financial limitations and a lack of technological knowledge among local authorities. Furthermore, many governments find it challenging to modernise current infrastructure to enable real-time data communication—necessary for ATCS to operate as it is. Furthermore complicating large-scale deployment is the lack of consistent performance criteria and inadequate inter-agency collaboration, particularly in areas with several overlapping transportation authorities[7].

d) Juggling Equity Goals with Multimodal Ones

Modern transportation networks have to more and more balance the conflicting needs of private cars, public transit, pedestrians, bicycles, and cargo. Efforts at signal optimisation favouring one mode can unintentionally disadvantage others. For general traffic, for instance, giving transit vehicles top priority could cause delays; on the other hand, lengthening pedestrian crossing durations helps to lower total intersection throughput.

Furthermore, equity issues are becoming more important and necessitate that optimisation plans take distributional effects across several socioeconomic and demographic groups into account—a task mainly neglected in present systems.

B. Future Path of Signal Timing Optimisation

a) Combined Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence

Transformational possibilities for signal timing optimisation abound from artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML). Particularly interesting for creating resilient, real-time control policies are reinforcement learning models, which can adaptively learn via environmental interaction.

AI-driven systems differ from static optimisation techniques in that they may independently change signal operations to maximise several goals concurrently, predict and react dynamically to changing traffic conditions, and recognise complex flow patterns.

b) Maximising Connected and Autonomous Vehicle Technologies

The spread of connected cars (CVs) and autonomous vehicles (AVs) will profoundly change traffic flow patterns. Vehicle-to-Infrastructure (V2I) communication helps vehicles to receive real-time signal phase and timing (SPaT) information, therefore optimising both individual and group travel behaviours.

Future optimisation systems have to be built to coordinate vehicle platooning, mix CV and AV data streams, and use predictive analytics to preemptively change signal timings depending on expected demand surges.

c) *Resilience and Sustainable Integration*

Optimising signal timing has to match more general sustainability and resilience targets more and more. Optimisation strategies should include goals including lowering carbon emissions, improving air quality, and strengthening the capacity of transportation networks to withstand and recover from climate-induced disruptions rather than concentrating only on lowering vehicle delays.

To match traffic operations with society demands, explicit inclusion of environmental and resilience performance measures within optimisation algorithms will be crucial.

d) *Approaching Multimodal, Multi-Objective Optimisation*

Single-objective, vehicle-centric optimisation is giving way to a more complex terrain of conflicting objectives. Future systems must concurrently maximise for pedestrian safety, transit dependability, cycling convenience, vehicle throughput, and environmental effects.

Development of balanced, inclusive signal timing techniques reflecting different mobility needs depends critically on approaches using Pareto optimality principles, weighted aggregation of objectives, and trade-off assessments.

C. Suggestions to Improve Signal Timing Strategies

The following strategic advice is suggested depending on the above mentioned difficulties and future possibilities:

- Robust, real-time optimisation depends on investments in advanced sensing technology and the integration of several data streams, including environmental sensors and linked vehicle data.
- Transportation agencies should hasten the study, development, and implementation of AI-based traffic control systems able of dynamic adaptation and multi-objective optimisation.
- Give sustainability and equity top priority so that operational enhancements support more general social and environmental objectives.
- Signal control technology should follow open standards in order to enable flawless data interchange among infrastructure, mobility platforms, and cars.
- Designing whole, future-ready traffic management solutions requires cooperation among traffic engineers, data scientists, urban planners, and environmental experts.
- Future traffic systems should include resilience concepts, therefore allowing quick adaptation to disturbance and long-term changes in travel patterns.
- Targeted training and professional development initiatives are required as signal timing optimisation gets more technologically sophisticated to provide practitioners with the required abilities.

D. Conclusion

Optimisation of signal timing marks the turning point in a paradigm. New society ideals, developing technologies, and increasing urban complexity need for changing conventional wisdom. While present technologies like Synchro offer strong platforms for study and development, the future calls for more dynamic, sustainable, fair, and intelligent traffic management solutions.

Transportation systems may progress towards safer, more efficient, and more sustainable mobility for all users by welcoming innovation, using data and AI capabilities, and pledging inclusive and resilient design principles.

VI. CONCLUSION

Effective traffic management plans in both urban and suburban environments still revolve mostly on signal timing optimisation. As discussed throughout this paper, the theoretical underpinnings of traffic signal control—including methods from conventional fixed-time systems to modern adaptive strategies—form a fundamental basis for improving junction and network performance. For engineers and planners hoping to increase mobility, safety, and environmental outcomes, tools like Synchro—with their complete capabilities in modelling, simulation, and optimization—have become absolutely essential.

The case examples and useful applications covered here highlight the real advantages possible with Synchro-based optimisation projects. Among the notable changes are significant decreases in automobile delays, improved transit service dependability, and more careful adjustments for bicyclists and pedestrians. Still, the ongoing difficulties—including data quality constraints, the difficulty of real-time flexibility, and the complexity of balancing multimodal needs—showcase how dynamically changing signal timing optimisation still is.

Looking ahead, developments in artificial intelligence, the explosion of connected and autonomous cars, and a more concentrated attention on sustainability and social equality will significantly affect the direction of signal optimisation. Transportation agencies have to give intelligent infrastructure top priority, use dynamic and adaptive control systems, and

encourage cross-disciplinary cooperation spanning engineering, data science, urban planning, and environmental stewardship if they are to fully seize these new possibilities.

In essence, even if great progress has been made, constant innovation, strategic investment, and creative leadership will be absolutely vital to satisfy the increasingly complicated mobility needs of modern society. Cities and communities can design transportation systems that are not only safer and more efficient but also more resilient, fair, and sustainable for next generations by using forward-looking, inclusive approaches and modern tools like Synchro.

VII. REFERENCES

- [1] Sussman, J. S. (2008). *Perspectives on intelligent transportation systems (ITS)*. Springer Science & Business Media. <https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&dr=&id=t6mJGZocOnIC&oi=fnd&pg=PA2&dq=intelligent+transportation+systems+AND+%22University+of+California%22+OR+%22MIT%22+OR+%22Georgia+Tech%22&ots=z6mqyi3RsX&sig=yMg4rFIR9TrKjyAHPURyfrdFFY#v=onepage&q=intelligent%20transportation%20systems%20AND%20%22University%20of%20California%22%20OR%20%22MIT%22%20OR%20%22Georgia%20Tech%22&f=false>
- [2] Martin, P. T., Feng, Y., & Wang, X. (2003). *Detector technology evaluation* (MPC Report No. 03-154). Fargo, ND, USA: Mountain-Plains Consortium. <https://www.ugpti.org/resources/reports/downloads/mpc03-154.pdf>
- [3] https://nacto.org/wp-content/uploads/2-7_FHWA-Traffic-Signal-Timing-Manual-ch-6_2008.pdf
- [4] Transportation Research Board (2016). *Highway Capacity Manual: HCM 6th Edition - A Guide for Multimodal Mobility Analysis*. Washington, D.C.: National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. Available at: <https://www.trb.org/Main/Blurbs/175169.aspx>
- [5] Dobrota, N., Stevanovic, A., Mitrovic, N., Alshayeb, S., & Zlatkovic, M. (2023). Development and evaluation of performance measures for capacity utilization of traffic signals. *Transportation research record*, 2677(1), 1337-1355.
- [6] https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/03611981221104460https://www.researchgate.net/publication/319424530_Evaluation_of_Actuated_Coordinated_and_Adaptive_Signal_Control_Systems_A_Case_Study
- [7] GAO (2012). *Intelligent Transportation Systems: Improved DOT Collaboration and Performance Measures Could Enhance Use of Technology to Manage Congestion*. U.S. Government Accountability Office. Available at: <https://www.gao.gov/products/gao-12-308>.